## Case 5:06-cv-01407-JW Document 72 Filed 04/12/07 Page 1 of 3

1	OFFICE OF THE MONTEREY COUNTY COUNTY		
2	CHARLES J. MCKEE (SBN 152458), COUNTY LEROY W. BLANKENSHIP (SBN 065233), ASS	SISTANT COUNTY COUNSEL	
3	EFREN N. IGLESIA (SBN 71309), SENIOR DEI 168 W. ALISAL, 3RD FLOOR	PUTY COUNTY COUNSEL	
4	SALINAS, CA 93901-2680 Telephone: (831) 755-5045		
5	Facsimile: (831) 755-5283		
6	NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT,	LLP	
	STEPHEN N. ROBERTS (SBN 062538) 50 CALIFORNIA STREET, 34TH FLOOR		
7	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4799 Telephone: (415) 398-3600		
8	Facsimile: (415) 398-2438 Email: montereycase@nossaman.com		
9	NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT,	T.T.P	
10	JOHN J. FLYNN III (SBN 076419) 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE		
11	IRVINE, CA 92612-0177 Telephone: (949) 833-7800		
12	Facsimile: (949) 833-7878		
13	Email: montereycase@nossaman.com Attorneys for Defendants		
14			
15	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
18		)	
19	In re: County of Monterey Initiative Matter	) NO: C 06-01407 JW ) NO: C 06-02202 JW	
20	and	) NO: C 06-02369 JW	
21	In re: Monterey Referendum	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO CLARIFY OR ALTER JUDGMENT	
22		) Date: May 21, 2007	
23		Time: 9:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 8	
24		Judge: Honorable James Ware	
25		) }	
26		·	
27			
28			
	213565 1 DOC		
	213565_1.DOC  NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO CLARIFY OR ALTER JUDGMENT		
11			

213565 1.DOC

#### **NOTICE OF MOTION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT ON May 21, 2007, at 9 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in Department 8 of the above-titled Court located at 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California, 95113, Defendant County of Monterey, The Board of Supervisors of the County of Monterey, and Anthony Anchundo (the "County") will bring before the Court its Motion To Clarify or Alter Judgment.

#### A. Relief Sought

The County moves this Court for an order that the judgment entered by the Court on March 29, 2007 ("Judgment") be altered such that the word "fees" in the following two sentences be stricken from the Judgment, or alternatively to clarify that the word "fees" as used in the two sentences was not meant to refer to attorneys' fees.

- 1. "The Plaintiffs are entitled to recover reasonable costs and fees." Page 1, line 26, of Judgment.
- 2. "The Plaintiffs are entitled to recover reasonable costs and fees." Page 2, lines 8-9, of Judgment.

### B. Summary of Grounds

The Motion is based upon several grounds, including: 1. The inclusion of a decision entitling the Plaintiffs to attorneys' fees is premature and improper, in light of the plaintiffs' motion for such fees, the absence of any briefing or argument on the issue of entitlement to attorneys' fees prior to the entry of the Judgment, or on the issue of the interplay of federal and state laws regarding attorneys' fees; 2. Plaintiffs have not brought an attorney general type of action warranting them to any award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1973l; and 3. Plaintiffs have not fulfilled any procedural requirements to demonstrate the entitlement to an award of attorneys fees under any federal or state statute.

The County brings this Motion pursuant to Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and bases its Motion upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and

# Case 5:06-cv-01407-JW Document 72 Filed 04/12/07 Page 3 of 3

		•
1	Authorities submitted herewith, the files and records in this action, and such other	evidence as may be
2	2 introduced at the hearing on this matter.	
3	3	
4	4 Dated: April 12, 2007 NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & F	ELLIOTT, LLP
5		
6	By:STEPHEN N. ROBERTS	
7	Attorneys for Defendants	
8	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF MONTEREY, THE BOA SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF ANTHONY ANCHUNDO	F MONTEREY, AND
9	9 ANTHONY ANCHUNDO	
10	10	
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
24	24	
25	25	
26	26	
27		
28	28	
	213565_1.DOC 2 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO CLARIFY OR ALTER JUDGM	ENT